

Decision making with little information.

John de Croon

16 March 2012

Complaints, complaints and complaints is what we here sometimes. 'There is not enough data available. How can I perform good analysis and get to proper decision making?' Because making decisions with uncertainties is a profession¹, there is a solution.

There are many causes for having not sufficient data. Assets often are relatively old and when the assets were built, there were no automated systems to capture the static object data. Or in the use phase modifications are made, but the contractor has never supplied the as-built data. And if that was supplied (often on paper), the people were not available to convert the data to the IT systems. Also, many asset intensive companies went through many mergers and acquisitions. After a merger or acquisition, it is often not clear where the fixed asset information was stored (e.g. after removals old paper archives could have disappeared). Because the static object data from the past is not stored, the dynamic maintenance data can not simply be linked to that object data. Think of hours worked, the planned and unplanned outage duration, the amount of purchased materials, type numbers of consumed articles and hired suppliers to mention a few. Also, for failures it is often not well recorded what the root cause of the failure was.

However much knowledge is available. It is then 'in the minds' of the workers and not in physical databases. And also many of those employees will leave the organisation the coming years. A small disaster seems to arise

Despite these shortcomings, some asset managers (fortunately) tend to be pragmatic. Even with only 80% of the data available, they can make the right decisions. Nevertheless, the desire in the industry is to get more and better data (this is one of the causes why on-line monitoring systems have received much attention). That in itself is not so strange. Generally people with a technical background have a relatively large need for security and certainty. And in seeking this they sometimes find support by means of standards. 'The standard states that we must do something!' as it sounds.

Asset management standards indeed set demands on the management of information. The Dutch industry asset management standard NTA8120 for example suggests that an organization must have procedures for the management of data and information. It for example states that the completeness, accuracy and timeliness of data in the system(s) should be taken into account. The standard does not prescribe how complete and reliable the data should be. So one should think about this. And it is the Asset Manager who should do this.

To deliver a better performance with the same budget, generally more and better data is needed. But that is not the same whether the available data is maximally applied. Moreover, the collection and management of data also costs money and thus is a separate asset management decision.

In this context it is also strange that asset performance models are not widely used². With these models the main cause of a problem can be found for example (e.g. methods such as fault tree, event tree, reliability block diagram and FMECA analysis). When these methods are combined with a sensitivity analysis, it quickly becomes clear which causes have a dominant influence and which have not. This is important because if a problem is clear, the solution is near.

¹ See column 'Beslissen is een vak' (decision making is a profession). <http://www.assetresolutions.nl/nl/column/beslissen-is-een-vak>

² See e.g. 'The state of asset management in the Netherlands'. Ype Wijnia en Paulien Herder, Delft University of Technology. This study was performed by Next Generation Infrastructures (part of Delft University of Technology)

